

# An existence theorem for tempered solutions of $\mathcal{D}$ -modules on complex curves

Giovanni Morando

2nd February 2008

## Abstract

Let  $X$  be a complex curve,  $X_{sa}$  the subanalytic site associated to  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  a holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module. Let  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t$  be the sheaf on  $X_{sa}$  of tempered holomorphic functions and  $\mathcal{S}ol(\mathcal{M})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})$ ) the complex of holomorphic (resp. tempered holomorphic) solutions of  $\mathcal{M}$ . We prove that the natural morphism

$$H^1(\mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{S}ol(\mathcal{M}))$$

is an isomorphism. As a consequence, we prove that  $\mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible in the sense of sheaves on  $X_{sa}$ . Such a result is conjectured by M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira in [KS03] in any dimension.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 32S40, 34M35, 32B20, 58J15.

## Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1 Subanalytic sets</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1 Review on subanalytic sets . . . . .	5
1.2 Subanalytic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$ . . . . .	7
<b>2 Tempered holomorphic functions</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1 The subanalytic site . . . . .	13
2.2 Definition and main properties of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t$ . . . . .	15
2.3 Pull-back of tempered holomorphic functions . . . . .	18

<b>3</b>	<b>Existence theorem</b>	<b>20</b>
3.1	Some classical results . . . . .	21
3.2	Existence theorem for tempered holomorphic functions . . . . .	22
<b>4</b>	<b>Tempered holomorphic solutions</b>	<b>26</b>
4.1	Classical results on $\mathcal{D}$ -modules . . . . .	27
4.2	Existence theorem for holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules . . . . .	29
4.3	The case of a single operator . . . . .	29
4.4	$\mathbb{R}$ -constructibility for tempered holomorphic solutions . . . . .	32
	<b>References</b>	<b>34</b>

## Introduction

The problem of existence for ordinary linear differential equations (and even non-linear) is classical and the literature presents many results on this subject. In particular, existence theorems for solutions with growth conditions have been obtained by many authors such as J.-P. Ramis and Y. Sibuya ([R-S89]), B. Malgrange ([M91]) and N. Honda ([H92]). In [R-S89] and [M91], the authors proved existence for functions with Gevrey-type growth conditions at the origin on sectors of sufficiently small amplitude. Using similar techniques, in [H92], the author proved existence for ultra-distributions with support on  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ .

The functional spaces considered in [R-S89] and [M91] correspond to sheaves on the real blow-up at the origin of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Essentially they are sheaves on the unit circle. Indeed, growth conditions did not allow a global sheaf theoretical approach.

Nonetheless tempered distributions were a basic tool in M. Kashiwara's functorial proof of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence in [K79] and [K84]. In order to use tempered distributions functorially, M. Kashiwara introduced the new functor  $T\mathcal{H}om$  of tempered cohomology. Such a functor represented the first step in a different approach to sheaves which, through [KS96], led to the full use of sheaves on sites in [KS01]. Indeed in [KS01], M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira combined classical analytical results of S. Łojasiewicz ([Ł59], see also [M67]) with sheaves on sites. They realized tempered distributions, tempered  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  functions and Whitney  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  functions as sheaves on the sub-analytic site. They also defined tempered holomorphic functions  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t$  as the complex of the solutions of the Cauchy-Riemann system in the space of tempered distributions.

In a subsequent paper, [KS03], M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira extended the

notion of microsupport of sheaves to the subanalytic site. In this way they established the framework for the study of tempered holomorphic solutions of  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules. They also gave an example which is the starting point of the study of tempered holomorphic solutions of an irregular ordinary differential equation.

Given a complex analytic manifold  $X$ , we denote by  $\mathrm{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$  the category of relatively compact subanalytic open subsets of  $X$  and by  $X_{sa}$  the subanalytic site, that is the site whose underlying category is  $\mathrm{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$  and whose coverings are the finite coverings. We denote by  $\mathrm{Mod}(k_X)$  (resp.  $\mathrm{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ ) the category of sheaves of  $k$ -modules on the site  $X$  (resp.  $X_{sa}$ ). Let  $\varrho : X \longrightarrow X_{sa}$  be the natural morphism of sites.

Given a  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ , it is natural to compare

$$\mathcal{S}ol\mathcal{M} := R\varrho_* R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}ol^t\mathcal{M} := R\mathcal{H}om_{\varrho_!\mathcal{D}_X}(\varrho_!\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t)$$

(for the definition of  $\varrho_!$ , see Section 2).

Along his proof of the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, M. Kashiwara proved that, if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a regular  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module, then  $\mathcal{S}ol^t\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}ol\mathcal{M}$ .

In [KS03], the authors studied  $\mathcal{S}ol^t\mathcal{M}$  comparing it to  $\mathcal{S}ol\mathcal{M}$ , for a particular example on a complex curve  $X$ .

In the present paper, we go into the study of  $\mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})$  for  $\mathcal{M}$  a holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -module on a complex curve  $X$ . In particular we prove an existence theorem for tempered solutions of ordinary differential equations in the subanalytic topology, thus refining the classical results on small open sectors. Such a result has two consequences.

First, we obtain that the natural morphism

$$(0.1) \quad H^1(\mathcal{S}ol^t\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{S}ol\mathcal{M})$$

is an isomorphism.

Second, we prove that the complex  $\mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible in the sense of [KS03]. In that paper the authors conjectured such a result in any dimension.

Our results being on a complex curve, it is natural to look for extensions of them in higher dimensions. In [Sa00], C. Sabbah conjectured and widely developed the higher dimensional version of Hukuhara-Turrittin's Theorem. Recently Y. André announced the proof of Sabbah's conjecture. Such results would be at the base of a possible extensions of our results.

The contents of the present paper are subdivided as follows.

In **Section 1**, we briefly review subanalytic sets recalling the classical results that we will need. We study in detail relatively compact subanalytic open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We give a decomposition of  $U \in \text{Op}_{\mathbb{R}_{sa}^2}^c$  using sets biholomorphic to open sectors. Such a result will be essential in Section 3.

In **Section 2**, we recall definitions and basic results of sheaves on the subanalytic site and tempered holomorphic functions on a complex curve. In Subsection 2.2 we prove a result concerning the composition of a tempered holomorphic function and a biholomorphism.

In **Section 3** we consider an open disc  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$  centered at 0 and

$$(0.2) \quad P := z^N \frac{d}{dz} I_m + A(z) ,$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \in gl(m, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}(X))$  and  $I_m$  is the identity matrix of order  $m$ . The aim of this section is to study the solvability of  $P$  in the space of tempered holomorphic functions on  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$  with  $0 \in \partial U$ . We prove that there exist an open neighborhood  $W \subset \mathbb{C}$  of 0 and a finite subanalytic covering  $\{U_j\}_{j \in J}$  of  $U \cap W$  such that for any  $g_j \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U_j)^m$  there exists  $u_j \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U_j)^m$  such that  $Pu_j = g_j$ , for any  $j \in J$ . We start the section by recalling Hukuhara-Turrittin's Theorem which is a basic tool in the study of ordinary differential equations.

In **Section 4**, we deal with  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules on a complex analytic curve  $X$ . We begin by recalling some classical results on  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules. In Subsection 4.2, we prove a first consequence of the results of Section 3, that is, (0.1) is an isomorphism. In Subsection 4.4 we prove a second consequence of the results of Section 3, that is,  $\mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible in the sense of sheaves on  $X_{sa}$ .

We thank P. Schapira for proposing this problem to our attention and for many fruitful discussions and A. D'Agnolo for many useful remarks.

## 1 Subanalytic sets

In the first subsection, we recall the definition and some classical results on subanalytic sets. In the second subsection we focus on relatively compact subanalytic open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We prove some results mixing the complex and the real analytic structure on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Indeed, we describe the local structure of relatively compact subanalytic open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  via biholomorphic images of open sectors (Theorem 1.2.8).

## 1.1 Review on subanalytic sets

Let  $M$  be a real analytic manifold,  $\mathcal{A}$  the sheaf of real-valued real analytic functions on  $M$ .

**Definition 1.1.1** *Let  $X \subset M$ .*

- (i)  *$X$  is said to be semi-analytic at  $x \in M$  if there exists an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$  such that  $X \cap W = \cup_{i \in I} \cap_{j \in J} X_{i,j}$  where  $I$  and  $J$  are finite sets and either  $X_{i,j} = \{y \in W; f_{i,j}(y) > 0\}$  or  $X_{i,j} = \{y \in W; f_{i,j}(y) = 0\}$  for some  $f_{i,j} \in \mathcal{A}(W)$ .  $X$  is said semi-analytic if it is semi-analytic at each  $x \in M$ .*
- (ii)  *$X$  is said subanalytic if for any  $x \in M$  there exist an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$ , a real analytic manifold  $N$  and a relatively compact semi-analytic set  $A \subset M \times N$  such that  $\pi(A) = X \cap W$ , where  $\pi : M \times N \rightarrow M$  is the projection.*
- (iii) *Let  $N$  be a real analytic manifold. A map  $f : X \rightarrow N$  is said subanalytic if its graph,*

$$\Gamma_f := \{(x, y) \in X \times N; y = f(x)\} ,$$

*is subanalytic in  $M \times N$ .*

Given  $X \subset M$ , denote by  $\overset{\circ}{X}$  (resp.  $\overline{X}$ ,  $\partial X$ ) the interior (resp. the closure, the boundary).

**Proposition 1.1.2** (See [BM88-2]) *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be subanalytic subset of  $M$ . Then  $X \cup Y$ ,  $X \cap Y$ ,  $\overline{X}$ ,  $\overset{\circ}{X}$  and  $X \setminus Y$  are subanalytic. Moreover the connected components of  $X$  are subanalytic, the family of connected components of  $X$  is locally finite and  $X$  is locally connected.*

Definition 1.1.3, Theorem 1.1.4 and Proposition 1.1.5 below are stated and proved in [C00] for the more general case of o-minimal structures.

**Definition 1.1.3 (Cylindrical Cell Decomposition)** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . A cylindrical cell decomposition (ccd for short)  $\{C_k\}_{k \in K}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a finite partition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into subanalytic sets  $C_k$  obtained inductively on  $n$  in the following way. The sets  $C_k$  are called cells.*

$n = 1$ : *The cells defining a ccd of  $\mathbb{R}$  are open intervals  $]a, b[$  or points  $\{c\}$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ ,  $a < b$ , and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

$n > 1$ : A ccd  $\{D_h\}_{h \in H}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is given by a ccd  $\{C_k\}_{k \in K}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ ,  $l_k \in \mathbb{N}$  and subanalytic continuous functions

$$\zeta_{k,1}, \dots, \zeta_{k,l_k} : C_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that, for any  $x \in C_k$ ,  $\zeta_{k,j}(x) < \zeta_{k,j+1}(x)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, l_k - 1$  ( $k \in K$ ).

The cells  $D_h$  are the graphs of  $\zeta_{k,j}$ ,

$$\Gamma_{\zeta_{k,j}} := \{(x, \zeta_{k,j}(x)) \in C_k \times \mathbb{R}\} \quad (1 \leq j \leq l_k),$$

and the sets

$$(1.1) \quad \{(x, y) \in C_k \times \mathbb{R}; \zeta_{k,j}(x) < y < \zeta_{k,j+1}(x)\}$$

for  $0 \leq j \leq l_k$ , where  $\zeta_{k,0} = -\infty$  and  $\zeta_{k,l_k+1} = +\infty$ .

**Theorem 1.1.4** (See [C00], Theorem 2.10) *Let  $A_1, \dots, A_d$  be relatively compact subanalytic subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists a cylindrical cell decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  adapted to each  $A_j$ . That is, each  $A_j$  is a union of cells.*

**Proposition 1.1.5** (See [C00], Theorem 3.4) *Let  $Z$  be a subanalytic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The following properties are equivalent.*

- (i)  $Z$  is closed and bounded.
- (ii) Every subanalytic continuous map  $\zeta : ]0, 1[ \rightarrow Z$  extends by continuity to a map  $[0, 1[ \rightarrow Z$ .
- (iii) For any subanalytic continuous function  $\zeta : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\zeta(Z)$  is closed and bounded.

For Theorem 1.1.6 below, see [BM88-2, Theorem 6.4].

**Theorem 1.1.6 (Łojasiewicz's Inequality.)** *Let  $M$  be a real analytic manifold,  $K \subset M$ . Let  $f, g : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be subanalytic functions with compact graphs. If  $f^{-1}(\{0\}) \subset g^{-1}(\{0\})$ , then there exist  $c, r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that, for any  $x \in K$ ,*

$$|f(x)| \geq c|g(x)|^r.$$

For Theorem 1.1.7 below, see [KS90, Proposition 8.2.3].

**Theorem 1.1.7 (Curve Selection Lemma.)** *Let  $Z$  be a subanalytic subset of  $M$  and let  $z_0 \in \overline{Z}$ . Then there exists an analytic map*

$$\gamma : ]-1, 1[ \rightarrow M,$$

such that  $\gamma(0) = z_0$  and  $\gamma(t) \in Z$  for  $t \neq 0$ .

## 1.2 Subanalytic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$

**Notation 1.2.1** *Given a real analytic manifold  $M$ , we denote by  $\text{Op}_{M_{sa}}$  (resp.  $\text{Op}_{M_{sa}}^c$ ) the category of subanalytic open (resp. relatively compact subanalytic open) subsets of  $M$ .*

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times ]-\pi, 3\pi[ &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \\ (\varrho, \vartheta) &\longmapsto \varrho e^{i\vartheta}. \end{aligned}$$

One has that, given  $U \in \text{Op}_{\mathbb{R}_{sa}^2}^c$  with  $0 \notin U$ ,  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(U)$  is a subanalytic open subset of  $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times ]-\pi, 3\pi[$ , relatively compact in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

For  $R \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\eta, \xi : [0, R] \longrightarrow ]-\pi, 3\pi[$  subanalytic continuous functions such that  $\eta(\varrho) < \xi(\varrho)$ , for any  $\varrho \in ]0, R[$ , denote

$$B_\eta^\xi := \left\{ (\varrho, \vartheta) \in ]0, R[ \times ]-\pi, 3\pi[ ; \eta(\varrho) < \vartheta < \xi(\varrho) \right\}.$$

Remark that  $\overline{B_\eta^\xi} \subset [0, R] \times ]-\pi, 3\pi[$ .

**Proposition 1.2.2** *Let  $U \in \text{Op}_{\mathbb{R}_{sa}^2}^c$ ,  $0 \in \partial U$ . There exists an open neighborhood  $W \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  of 0, such that  $U \cap W$  is a finite union of sets of the form  $\tilde{\pi}(B_\eta^\xi) \cap W$ .*

*Proof.* The set  $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(U)$  is a subanalytic open subset of  $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times ]-\pi, 3\pi[$ , relatively compact in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\epsilon < \pi$ . Take a cylindrical cell decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  adapted to

$$\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(U) \cap \left( \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times ]-\epsilon, 2\pi + \epsilon[ \right).$$

The conclusion follows.  $\square$

For  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , denote by  $B(z, \epsilon)$  the open ball of center  $z$  and radius  $\epsilon$ .

Let us introduce semi-analytic arcs and prove an easy result which states the local equivalence between semi-analytic arcs and graphs of subanalytic functions.

**Definition 1.2.3** *Let  $\gamma : ]-1, 1[ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be an analytic map,  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $\gamma|_{[0, \delta]}$  is injective. We call*

$$\Gamma := \gamma([0, \delta])$$

*a semi-analytic arc with an endpoint at  $\gamma(0)$ .*

Recall that, given a function  $\eta$ , we denote by  $\Gamma_\eta$  the graph of  $\eta$ .

**Lemma 1.2.4** *Let  $R \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\eta : [0, R[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a subanalytic continuous map. There exist  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and an analytic map  $\gamma : ]-1, 1[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\gamma(0) = (0, \eta(0))$  and*

$$(1.2) \quad \gamma(]-1, 1[\setminus \{0\}) = \Gamma_\eta \cap (]0, \delta[ \times \mathbb{R}) .$$

*In particular, there exist a semi-analytic arc  $\Gamma$  with an endpoint at  $(0, \eta(0))$  and an open neighborhood  $W \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  of  $(0, \eta(0))$ , such that*

$$\Gamma_\eta \cap W = \overline{\Gamma} \cap W .$$

*Proof.* Let  $p_1 : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the projection on the first coordinate.

By Theorem 1.1.7 there exists an analytic map  $\gamma : ]-1, 1[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\gamma(0) = (0, \eta(0))$  and

$$(1.3) \quad \gamma(]-1, 1[\setminus \{0\}) \subset \Gamma_\eta \setminus \{(0, \eta(0))\} .$$

Remark that we can suppose that  $\gamma|_{[0,1[}$  and  $\gamma|_{]-1,0]}$  are injective. Since  $\gamma(]-1, 1[)$  is arcwise-connected,  $p_1(\gamma(]-1, 1[))$  is arcwise-connected as well. Hence, since  $\{0\} \subsetneq p_1(\gamma(]-1, 1[)) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ , there exists  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $p_1(\gamma(]-1, 1[)) = [0, \delta[$ .

Further, by (1.3),

$$(1.4) \quad p_1(\gamma(]-1, 1[\setminus \{0\})) = ]0, \delta[ .$$

Let us prove that if  $0 < x < \delta$ , then  $(x, \eta(x)) \in \gamma(]-1, 1[\setminus \{0\})$ , this will conclude the proof. Let  $x \in ]0, \delta[$ . By (1.4), there exists  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(x, y) \in \gamma(]-1, 1[\setminus \{0\})$ . By (1.3), it follows that  $y = \eta(x)$ . Hence  $(x, \eta(x)) \in \gamma(]-1, 1[\setminus \{0\})$ .  $\square$

Roughly speaking, from Lemma 1.2.4 and Proposition 1.2.2, it follows that  $(\partial U) \cap W$  is a finite collection of semi-analytic arcs with an endpoint at 0.

Let us now introduce biholomorphic images of open sectors. We start with a well known result on the local nature of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$ . For Proposition 1.2.5 below, see [F81, Theorem 2.1].

**Proposition 1.2.5** *Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open neighborhood of 0,  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a non constant holomorphic map such that 0 is a zero of order  $n$  for  $\varphi$ .*



There exist an open neighborhood  $U' \subset U$  of 0,  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , and a holomorphic isomorphism  $\psi : U' \rightarrow B(0, \epsilon)$  such that, for any  $z \in U'$ ,

$$\varphi|_{U'}(z) = (\psi(z))^n.$$

**Definition 1.2.6** Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\alpha < \beta$ . The set

$$S_{\alpha, \beta, r} := \{\varrho e^{i\vartheta} \in \mathbb{C}^\times; 0 < \varrho < r, \vartheta \in ]\alpha, \beta[ \}$$

is called an open sector of amplitude  $\beta - \alpha$  and radius  $r$  or simply an open sector.

We will need to stress on the amplitude and the direction of a sector so we will also use the following slightly different notation

$$S_{\tau \pm \eta, r} := S_{\tau - \eta, \tau + \eta, r}$$

for  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\eta, r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

**Corollary 1.2.7** Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open neighborhood of 0,  $\varphi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  a non constant holomorphic map such that  $\varphi(0) = 0$ .

- (i) There exist  $r, \tau \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $\overline{B(0, r)} \subset U$  and, for any  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varphi|_{\overline{S_{\vartheta \pm \tau, r}}}$  is an injective map.
- (ii) Suppose that, given  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exist  $\mu, \delta, R \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that

$$\varphi([0, \delta[ \times \{0\}) \subset S_{\alpha + \mu, \beta - \mu, R}.$$

Then, there exist  $\eta, r' \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that

$$\varphi(S_{0 \pm \eta, r'}) \subset S_{\alpha, \beta, R}.$$

*Proof.* It is based on Proposition 1.2.5 and the fact that holomorphic isomorphisms are conformal maps. □

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this section. Denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}$  the sheaf of holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.8** Let  $U \in \text{Op}_{\mathbb{R}_{sa}^2}^c$ ,  $0 \in \partial U$ . There exist an open neighborhood  $W \subset \mathbb{C}$  of 0, a finite set  $J$ , open sectors  $S_{j,k}$ ,  $\varphi_{j,k} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{S_{j,k}})$  ( $j \in J, k = 1, 2$ ) such that

(i)  $\varphi_{j,k}(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi_{j,k}|_{\overline{S_{j,k}}}$  is injective ( $j \in J, k = 1, 2$ ),

(ii)

$$U \cap W = \bigcup_{j \in J} \left( \varphi_{j,1}(S_{j,1}) \cap \varphi_{j,2}(S_{j,2}) \right) .$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.8.* By Proposition 1.2.2, it is sufficient to prove the statement for  $U = \tilde{\pi}(B_\eta^\xi) \cap W$ , for  $W \subset \mathbb{C}$  an open neighborhood of 0.

First we need two technical lemmas.

**Lemma 1.2.9** *Let  $S$  be an open sector,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{C}(\overline{S})$  such that  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi|_{\overline{S}}$  is injective. Suppose that there exists  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $\varphi(S) \cap B(0, \epsilon)$  is contained in an open sector of amplitude strictly smaller than  $2\pi$ .*

*Then there exist  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , an open neighborhood  $V \subset \mathbb{C}$  of 0 and  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 : [0, \epsilon] \rightarrow ]-\pi, 3\pi[$  subanalytic continuous functions such that, for any  $\varrho \in [0, \epsilon]$ ,  $\zeta_1(\varrho) < \zeta_2(\varrho)$  and*

$$\varphi(S \cap B(0, r)) = \tilde{\pi}(B_{\zeta_1}^{\zeta_2}) \cap V .$$

*Proof.* We limit to give a sketch of the proof which is essentially of topological nature.

There exist  $\eta \in [0, 2\pi]$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\mu < \pi$ , such that  $\varphi(S) \cap B(0, \epsilon) \subset S_{\eta \pm \mu, \epsilon}$ .

Remark that  $[\eta - \mu, \eta + \mu] \subset ]\eta - \pi, \eta + \pi[ \subset ]-\pi, 3\pi[$ . Take a ccd of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  adapted to

$$\tilde{\pi}^{-1} \left( \varphi(S) \cap B(0, \epsilon) \right) \cap \left( \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times ]\eta - \mu, \eta + \mu[ \right) .$$

Since, for any  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\varphi(S) \cap B(0, \delta)$  has just one connected component having 0 in its boundary, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.10** *Let  $R \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\eta : [0, R] \rightarrow ]-\pi, 3\pi[$  a subanalytic continuous map. There exist an open neighborhood  $V \subset \mathbb{C}$  of 0,  $\tau, r, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{C}(\overline{B(0, r)})$  and  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 : [0, \epsilon] \rightarrow ]-\pi, 3\pi[$  subanalytic continuous functions satisfying the following conditions.*

(i)  $\varphi|_{\overline{S_{-\tau, \tau, r}}}$  is injective.

(ii) For any  $\varrho \in [0, \epsilon]$ ,  $-\pi < \zeta_1(\varrho) < \eta(\varrho) < \zeta_2(\varrho) < 3\pi$  and

$$(1.5) \quad \varphi(S_{0, \tau, r}) = \tilde{\pi}(B_\eta^{\zeta_2}) \cap V ,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \varphi(S_{-\tau, 0, r}) = \tilde{\pi}(B_{\zeta_1}^\eta) \cap V .$$

*Proof.* Remark that it is sufficient to prove the statement for  $\eta|_{[0,\epsilon]}$  for some  $\epsilon' \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\epsilon' < R$ . We set for short  $\eta_{\epsilon'} := \eta|_{[0,\epsilon']}$ .

Since  $\eta(0) \in ]-\pi, 3\pi[$ , there exist  $\epsilon, \mu_1 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\mu_1 < \pi$ , such that  $[\eta_{\epsilon}(0) - \mu_1, \eta_{\epsilon}(0) + \mu_1] \subset ]-\pi, 3\pi[$  and

$$(1.7) \quad \Gamma_{\eta_{\epsilon}} \setminus (0, \eta_{\epsilon}(0)) \subset ]0, R[ \times ]\eta_{\epsilon}(0) - \mu_1, \eta_{\epsilon}(0) + \mu_1[ .$$

First, let us show that there exist an open neighborhood  $W \subset \mathbb{C}$  of  $] -1, 1[$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$  and  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and

$$(1.8) \quad \varphi\left((] -1, 1[ \setminus \{0\}) \times \{0\}\right) = \tilde{\pi}\left(\Gamma_{\eta_{\epsilon}} \cap (]0, \delta[ \times \mathbb{R})\right) .$$

By Lemma 1.2.4, there exist  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and an analytic map  $\gamma : ] -1, 1[ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $\gamma(0) = (0, \eta(0))$  and

$$\gamma(] -1, 1[ \setminus \{0\}) = \Gamma_{\eta_{\epsilon}} \cap (]0, \delta[ \times \mathbb{R}) .$$

Since  $\tilde{\pi} \circ \gamma$  is an analytic map, there exist a complex neighborhood  $W$  of  $] -1, 1[$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$  such that  $\varphi|_{]-1, 1[ \times \{0\}} = \tilde{\pi} \circ \gamma|_{]-1, 1[}$ . In particular,  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and

$$(1.9) \quad \varphi\left((] -1, 1[ \setminus \{0\}) \times \{0\}\right) = \tilde{\pi}\left(\Gamma_{\eta_{\epsilon}} \cap (]0, \delta[ \times \mathbb{R})\right) .$$

Hence, (1.8) follows.

Now, remark that (1.7) implies that

$$(1.10) \quad \tilde{\pi}\left(\Gamma_{\eta_{\epsilon}} \setminus (0, \eta_{\epsilon}(0))\right) \subset S_{\eta_{\epsilon}(0) \pm \mu_1, R} .$$

Combining (1.9) and (1.10), we have that

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(]0, 1[ \times \{0\}) &\subset \tilde{\pi}\left(\Gamma_{\eta_{\epsilon}} \cap (]0, \delta[ \times \mathbb{R})\right) \\ &\subset \tilde{\pi}\left(\Gamma_{\eta_{\epsilon}} \setminus (0, \eta_{\epsilon}(0))\right) \\ &\subset S_{\eta_{\epsilon}(0) \pm \mu_1, R} . \end{aligned}$$

Since  $[\eta_{\epsilon}(0) - \mu_1, \eta_{\epsilon}(0) + \mu_1] \subset ]-\pi, 3\pi[$  and  $\mu_1 < \pi$ , there exists  $\mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \pi$  and

$$(1.12) \quad [\eta_{\epsilon}(0) - \mu_2, \eta_{\epsilon}(0) + \mu_2] \subset ]-\pi, 3\pi[ .$$

Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  be such that  $\overline{B(0, r)} \subset W$ . Then, Corollary 1.2.7 (ii) applies and there exist  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that, up to shrinking  $r$ ,

$$(1.13) \quad \varphi(S_{0, \tau, r}) \subset S_{\eta_{\epsilon}(0) \pm \mu_2, R} .$$

Further, by Corollary 1.2.7 (i), up to shrinking  $\tau$  and  $r$ , we have that  $\varphi|_{\overline{S_{0,\tau,r}}}$  is injective.

Then, Lemma 1.2.9 applies and there exist  $r' \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , an open neighborhood  $V \subset \mathbb{C}$  of 0 and  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 : [0, \epsilon] \rightarrow ]-\pi, 3\pi[$  subanalytic continuous functions such that, for any  $\varrho \in [0, \epsilon]$ ,  $\zeta_1(\varrho) < \zeta_2(\varrho)$  and

$$\varphi(S_{0,\tau,r'}) = \tilde{\pi}(B_{\zeta_1}^{\zeta_2}) \cap V .$$

Then, (1.12) and (1.13) imply that one can chose  $\zeta_1 = \eta_\epsilon$ . Hence (1.5) follows.

Clearly, (1.6) can be proved using the same arguments. □

*End of the Proof of Theorem 1.2.8.*

As said above, by Proposition 1.2.2, it is sufficient to prove the statement for  $U = \tilde{\pi}(B_\eta^\xi) \cap W$ , for  $W \subset \mathbb{C}$  an open neighborhood of 0.

Consider  $B_\eta^\xi$ .

By Lemma 1.2.10, there exist  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 : [0, \epsilon] \rightarrow ]-\pi, 3\pi[$ ,  $r, \tau \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{B(0, r)})$ ,  $V_1, V_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$  open neighborhoods of 0 such that, for any  $\varrho \in [0, \epsilon]$ ,  $\eta(\varrho) < \zeta_2(\varrho) < 3\pi$ ,  $-\pi < \zeta_1(\varrho) < \xi(\varrho)$ ,  $\varphi_1|_{\overline{S_{0,\tau,r}}}$   $\varphi_2|_{\overline{S_{-\tau,0,r}}}$  are injective and

$$\tilde{\pi}(B_\eta^{\zeta_2}) \cap V_1 = \varphi_1(S_{0,\tau,r}) ,$$

$$\tilde{\pi}(B_{\zeta_1}^\xi) \cap V_2 = \varphi_2(S_{-\tau,0,r}) .$$

We distinguish two cases:  $\xi(0) = \eta(0)$  and  $\eta(0) < \xi(0)$ .

(i) Suppose  $\xi(0) = \eta(0)$ .

We have that

$$-\pi < \zeta_1(0) < \eta(0) = \xi(0) < \zeta_2(0) < 3\pi .$$

It follows that there exists  $\epsilon' \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that, for any  $\varrho \in [0, \epsilon']$ ,

$$\zeta_1(\varrho) < \eta(\varrho) \leq \xi(\varrho) < \zeta_2(\varrho) .$$

Hence, considering  $\eta, \xi, \zeta_1, \zeta_2$  as restricted to  $[0, \epsilon']$ , we have that

$$B_\eta^\xi = B_\eta^{\zeta_1} \cap B_{\zeta_2}^\xi .$$

Now, up to take smaller  $\tau, \epsilon'$ , we can suppose that  $\tilde{\pi}(B_{\zeta_1}^\xi)$  and  $\tilde{\pi}(B_\eta^{\zeta_2})$  are contained in an open sector of amplitude strictly smaller than  $2\pi$ . In particular,  $\tilde{\pi}$  is a bijection on  $B_\eta^{\zeta_1} \cup B_{\zeta_2}^\xi$ . It follows that

$$\tilde{\pi}(B_\eta^\xi) = \tilde{\pi}(B_\eta^{\zeta_1}) \cap \tilde{\pi}(B_{\zeta_2}^\xi) .$$

Taking  $V := V_1 \cap V_2$ , the conclusion follows.

(ii) Suppose  $\eta(0) < \xi(0)$ .

Up to take smaller  $\tau$ , there exist  $\epsilon' \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and  $\alpha, \beta : [0, \epsilon'] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  constant functions such that, for any  $\varrho \in [0, \epsilon']$ ,

$$\eta(\varrho) < \alpha(\varrho) < \zeta_2(\varrho) < \zeta_1(\varrho) < \beta(\varrho) < \xi(\varrho) .$$

It follows that, considering  $\eta, \xi, \zeta_1, \zeta_2$  as restricted to  $[0, \epsilon']$ ,

$$B_\eta^\xi = B_\eta^{\zeta_2} \cup B_\alpha^\beta \cup B_{\zeta_1}^\xi .$$

The conclusion follows.  $\square$

Detailing the proof of Theorem 1.2.8, one can give a more precise statement in the following way.

**Remark 1.2.11** *Let  $U, W, \varphi_{j,k}$  and  $S_{j,k}$  as given in Theorem 1.2.8. Given  $r, \eta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , there exist an open neighborhood  $W' \subset W$  of the origin, a finite set  $J'$  and open sectors  $S'_{j',k} \subset S_{j,k}$  ( $j' \in J'$ ) such that the amplitude (resp. the radius) of  $S'_{j',k}$  is smaller than  $\eta$  (resp.  $r$ ) and*

$$U \cap W' = \bigcup_{j \in J} \left( \varphi_{j,1}(S'_{j,1}) \cap \varphi_{j,2}(S'_{j,2}) \right) .$$

## 2 Tempered holomorphic functions

In the first subsection we recall the definition and some classical results on the subanalytic site  $X_{sa}$  underlying a complex curve  $X$  and sheaves on  $X_{sa}$ . In the second subsection we recall the definition of the subanalytic sheaf of tempered holomorphic functions. In the third section we prove a result on the pull back of tempered holomorphic functions through biholomorphisms. We refer to [KS03] and [KS01] for the first and the second subsection.

Throughout this section,  $X$  will be a complex analytic curve.

### 2.1 The subanalytic site

Let  $X$  be a complex analytic curve, denote by  $\overline{X}$  the complex conjugate curve and by  $X_{\mathbb{R}}$  the underlying real analytic manifold. For  $k$  a commutative ring, we denote by  $\text{Mod}(k_X)$  the category of sheaves of  $k$ -modules on  $X$ .

We endow  $\text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c := \text{Op}_{X_{\mathbb{R}sa}}^c$  with a Grothendieck topology, called the subanalytic topology, by deciding that an usual open covering  $U = \cup_{i \in I} U_i$  in

$\text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$  is a covering for the subanalytic topology if there exists a finite subset  $J \subset I$  such that  $U = \cup_{j \in J} U_j$ . Denote by  $X_{sa}$  this site and call it the *subanalytic site*. Further, denote by  $\text{Cov}_{sa}(U)$  the family of coverings of  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$  for the subanalytic topology and by  $\text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$  the category of sheaves of  $k$ -modules on the subanalytic site.

One can show (see [KS01, Remark 6.3.6]) that  $\text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$  is equivalent to the category of sheaves on the site  $X_{sa,lf}$ , where the class of open sets of  $X_{sa,lf}$  is  $\text{Op}_{X_{sa}}$  and, for  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}$ , the family of coverings of  $U$  for  $X_{sa,lf}$  consists of subanalytic open coverings  $\{U_\sigma\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$  of  $U$  such that for any compact  $K$  of  $X$ , there exists a finite subset  $J \subset \Sigma$  such that  $K \cap (\cup_{j \in J} U_j) = K \cap U$ .

Let  $\text{PSh}(k_{X_{sa}})$  be the category of presheaves of  $k$ -modules on  $X_{sa}$ . Denote by  $for : \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) \rightarrow \text{PSh}(k_{X_{sa}})$  the forgetful functor which associates to a sheaf  $F$  on  $X_{sa}$  its underlying presheaf. It is well known that  $for$  admits a left adjoint  $\cdot^a : \text{PSh}(k_{X_{sa}}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ .

For  $F \in \text{PSh}(k_{X_{sa}})$ , let us briefly recall the construction of  $F^a$ .

For  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$  and  $S = \{U_1, \dots, U_n\} \in \text{Cov}_{sa}(U)$ , set

$$(2.1) \quad F(S) := \left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \prod_{j=1}^n F(U_j); \ s_j|_{U_j \cap U_k} = s_k|_{U_j \cap U_k}, j, k = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

If  $S$  is a covering of  $U$  and  $S'$  is a refinement of  $S$ , then there exists a natural restriction morphism  $F(S) \xrightarrow{\varrho_{SS'}} F(S')$ .

Then, for  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$ , set

$$(2.2) \quad F^+(U) := \varinjlim_{S \in \text{Cov}_{sa}(U)} F(S).$$

It turns out that  $F^a \simeq F^{++}$ .

Now, let  $s \in F^a(U)$ . Since the inductive limit considered in (2.2) is filtrant,  $s$  can be identified to an  $n$ -uple  $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in F(S)$ , for  $S = \{U_j\}_{j=1, \dots, n} \in \text{Cov}_{sa}(U)$ ,  $s_j \in F(U_j)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

Further, if  $s \in F^a(U)$  can be identified to  $s_1 \in F(S_1)$  and to  $s_2 \in F(S_2)$ , for  $S_1, S_2 \in \text{Cov}_{sa}(U)$ , then there exists a refinement  $S \in \text{Cov}_{sa}(U)$  of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  and  $\bar{s} \in F(S)$  such that  $s$  can be identified to  $\bar{s}$ .

For Proposition 2.1.1 below, see [KS01, Proposition 2.1.12].

**Proposition 2.1.1** *Consider the complex in  $\text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$*

$$(2.3) \quad F' \xrightarrow{\varphi} F \xrightarrow{\psi} F''.$$

*The following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) (2.3) is exact.

(ii) For any  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$  and any  $t \in F(U)$  such that  $\psi(t) = 0$ , there exist  $\{U_j\}_{j \in J} \in \text{Cov}_{sa}(U)$  and  $s_j \in F(U_j)$  such that  $\varphi(s_j) = t|_{U_j}$  ( $j \in J$ ).

We shall denote by

$$\varrho : X \longrightarrow X_{sa} ,$$

the natural morphism of sites associated to  $\text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c \longrightarrow \text{Op}_X$ . We refer to [KS01] for the definitions of the functors  $\varrho_* : \text{Mod}(k_X) \longrightarrow \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$  and  $\varrho^{-1} : \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}}) \longrightarrow \text{Mod}(k_X)$  and for Proposition 2.1.2 below.

**Proposition 2.1.2** (i)  $\varrho^{-1}$  is left adjoint to  $\varrho_*$ .

(ii)  $\varrho^{-1}$  has a right adjoint denoted by  $\varrho_! : \text{Mod}(k_X) \longrightarrow \text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ .

(iii)  $\varrho^{-1}$  and  $\varrho_!$  are exact,  $\varrho_*$  is exact on constructible sheaves.

(iv)  $\varrho_*$  and  $\varrho_!$  are fully faithful.

In particular, we can consider  $\text{Mod}(k_X)$  as a subcategory of  $\text{Mod}(k_{X_{sa}})$ .

The functor  $\varrho_!$  is described as follows. If  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$  and  $F$  is a sheaf on  $X$ , then  $\varrho_!(F)$  is the sheaf on  $X_{sa}$  associated to the presheaf  $U \mapsto F(\overline{U})$ .

## 2.2 Definition and main properties of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t$

Denote by  $\mathcal{D}_X$  the sheaf of differential operators with holomorphic coefficients on  $X$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}$  the sheaf of distributions on  $X$  and, for a closed subset  $Z$  of  $X$ , by  $\Gamma_Z(\mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}})$  the subsheaf of sections supported by  $Z$ . One denotes by  $\mathcal{D}b_{X_{sa}}^t$  the presheaf of *tempered distributions* on  $X_{\mathbb{R}}$  defined as follows

$$\text{Op}_{X_{sa}} \ni U \longmapsto \mathcal{D}b_{X_{sa}}^t(U) := \Gamma(X; \mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}) / \Gamma_{X \setminus U}(X; \mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}) .$$

In [KS01] it is proved that  $\mathcal{D}b_{X_{sa}}^t$  is a sheaf on  $X_{sa}$ . This sheaf is well defined in the category  $\text{Mod}(\varrho_! \mathcal{D}_X)$ . Moreover, for any  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$ ,  $\mathcal{D}b_{X_{sa}}^t$  is  $\Gamma(U, \cdot)$ -acyclic.

One defines the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t \in D^b(\varrho_! \mathcal{D}_X)$  of tempered holomorphic functions as

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t := R\mathcal{H}om_{\varrho_! \mathcal{D}_X}(\varrho_! \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}, \mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}^t) .$$

In [KS01] it is proved that, since  $\dim X = 1$ ,  $R\varrho_* \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t$  are concentrated in degree 0. Hence we can write the following exact sequence of sheaves on  $X_{sa}$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}b_{X_{sa}}^t \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{D}b_{X_{sa}}^t \longrightarrow 0 .$$

**Lemma 2.2.1** *Let  $X = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $X_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $U, V \in \text{Op}_{\mathbb{R}_{sa}^2}^c$ .*

(i)  $H^j(U, \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t) = 0$ , for  $j > 0$ .

(ii) *The following sequence is exact*

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U \cup V) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(V) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U \cap V) \longrightarrow 0 .$$

*Proof.* (i) By the definition of  $\mathcal{D}b_{X_{sa}}^t$ , given  $h \in \mathcal{D}b_{X_{sa}}^t(U)$ , there exists  $\tilde{h} \in \mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $\tilde{h}|_U = h$ . It is well known that there exists  $g \in \mathcal{D}b_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that  $\bar{\partial}g = \tilde{h}$ . This implies that  $\bar{\partial}(g|_U) = h$ . So we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}b_{X_{sa}}^t(U) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{D}b_{X_{sa}}^t(U) \longrightarrow 0 .$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}b_{X_{sa}}^t$  is acyclic with respect to the functor  $\Gamma(U; \cdot)$ , for  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$ , it follows that, for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $H^j(U, \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t) = 0$ .

(ii) Obvious from (i). □

Now we recall the definition of polynomial growth for  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  functions on  $X_{\mathbb{R}}$  and in (2.8) we give an alternative expression for tempered holomorphic functions on  $U \in \text{Op}_{\mathbb{R}_{sa}^2}^c$ .

**Definition 2.2.2** *Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}^\infty(U)$ . One says that  $f$  has polynomial growth at  $p \in X$  if it satisfies the following condition. For a local coordinate system  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  around  $p$ , there exist a sufficiently small compact neighborhood  $K$  of  $p$  and  $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that*

$$(2.5) \quad \sup_{x \in K \cap U} \text{dist}(x, K \setminus U)^M |f(x)| < +\infty .$$

*We say that  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}^\infty(U)$  has polynomial growth on  $U$  if it has polynomial growth at any  $p \in X$ . We say that  $f$  is tempered at  $p$  if all its derivatives have polynomial growth at  $p \in X$ . We say that  $f$  is tempered on  $U$  if it is tempered at any  $p \in X$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{C}_X^{\infty, t}$  the presheaf on  $X_{\mathbb{R}}$  of tempered  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -functions.*



It is obvious that  $f$  has polynomial growth at any point of  $U$ . If no confusion is possible we will write “ $f$  is tempered” instead of “ $f$  is tempered on  $U$ ”.

In [KS01] it is proved that  $\mathcal{C}_X^{\infty,t}$  is a sheaf on  $X_{sa}$ .

For  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  a relatively compact open set, we can characterize functions with polynomial growth on  $U$  by means of a family of norms.

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\infty(U)$ ,  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_m) \in (\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\infty(U))^m$  and  $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , denote

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta_{\partial U}(x) &:= \text{dist}(x, \partial U) , \\ \|f\|_U^M &:= \sup_{x \in U} \delta_{\partial U}(x)^M |f(x)| , \\ \|g\|_U^M &:= \max \{ \|g_j\|_U^M ; j = 1, \dots, m \} . \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.2.3** *Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a relatively compact open set and let  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}^n}^\infty(U)$ . Then  $f$  has polynomial growth if and only if there exists  $M \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \|f\|_U^M < +\infty ,$$

or equivalently: there exist  $C, M \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that for any  $x \in U$ ,

$$|f(x)| \leq C \delta_{\partial U}(x)^{-M} .$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  satisfies (2.7), that is,

$$\sup_{x \in U} \delta_{\partial U}(x)^M |f(x)| < +\infty .$$

Let  $K$  be a compact neighborhood of  $\overline{U}$ . For any  $p \in \overline{U}$ ,  $K$  is a compact neighborhood of  $p$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in K \cap U} \text{dist}(x, K \setminus U)^M |f(x)| &= \sup_{x \in U} \delta_{\partial U}(x)^M |f(x)| \\ &< +\infty . \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f$  has polynomial growth.

Conversely, suppose that  $h$  has polynomial growth. That is, for  $p \in \partial U$ , there exists a compact neighborhood  $K_p$  of  $p$  verifying (2.5).

Set

$$V := \left\{ x \in K_p ; \delta_{\partial U \setminus K_p}(x) > \delta_{\partial U}(x) \right\} .$$

Then for any  $x \in V$ ,  $\delta_{\partial U}(x) = \delta_{\partial U \cap K_p}(x)$ .

Since  $p \in V$ , there exists  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $\overline{B(p, \epsilon)} \subset V$ . Set

$$Z_p := \overline{B(p, \epsilon)} \cup (K_p \cap \partial U) .$$

Then  $Z_p \cap \partial U = K_p \cap \partial U$  and, for any  $x \in Z_p \cap U$ ,  $\delta_{\partial U}(x) = \delta_{\partial U \cap K_p}(x) = \delta_{\partial U \cap Z_p}(x)$ .

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in Z_p \cap U} \delta_{\partial U}(x)^M |f(x)| &= \sup_{x \in Z_p \cap U} \delta_{\partial U \cap Z_p}(x)^M |f(x)| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in K_p \cap U} \delta_{\partial U \cap K_p}(x)^M |f(x)| \\ &< +\infty . \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\partial U$  is compact, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

Lemma 2.2.4 below is an easy consequence of Cauchy's Formula. See [Si70, Lemma 3].

**Lemma 2.2.4** *Let  $U$  be a relatively compact open subset of  $X$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  with polynomial growth on  $U$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U)$ .*

For Proposition 2.2.5 below, see [KS01].

**Proposition 2.2.5** *One has the following isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t \simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\varrho! \mathcal{D}_{\overline{X}}}(\varrho! \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}, \mathcal{C}_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}^{\infty, t}) .$$

Hence, we deduce the short exact sequence

$$(2.8) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}^{\infty, t}(U) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \mathcal{C}_{X_{\mathbb{R}}}^{\infty, t}(U) \longrightarrow 0 .$$

## 2.3 Pull-back of tempered holomorphic functions

Recall that, for  $U$  a relatively compact open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we set  $\delta_{\partial U}(z) := \text{dist}(z, \partial U)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.1** *Let  $X$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  be a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -subanalytic map. Let  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$ ,  $V \in \text{Op}_{\mathbb{R}_{sa}^m}^c$  satisfying  $f(U) = V$  and  $f(\partial U) = \partial V$ . Let  $h \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}^m}^\infty(V)$ .*

*Then  $h$  has polynomial growth on  $V$  if and only if  $h \circ f$  has polynomial growth on  $U$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the subanalytic continuous functions  $\delta_{\partial U}, \delta_{\partial V} \circ f|_{\overline{U}} : \overline{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . Since  $f(\partial U) = \partial V$  and  $f(U) = V$ ,

$$(\delta_{\partial V} \circ f|_{\overline{U}})^{-1}(\{0\}) = \partial U .$$

In particular,

$$(\delta_{\partial V} \circ f)^{-1}(\{0\}) = \delta_{\partial U}^{-1}(\{0\}) .$$

By Theorem 1.1.6, there exist  $a, b, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that, for any  $x \in \overline{U}$ ,

$$(2.9) \quad a \left( \delta_{\partial V} \circ f|_{\overline{U}}(x) \right)^\alpha \leq \delta_{\partial U}(x) ,$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad b \left( \delta_{\partial U}(x) \right)^\beta \leq \delta_{\partial V} \circ f|_{\overline{U}}(x) .$$

(i) Suppose that  $h \circ f$  has polynomial growth on  $U$ , that is, there exist  $C, M \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that, for any  $x \in U$ ,

$$|h(f(x))| \leq C (\delta_{\partial U}(x))^{-M} .$$

By (2.9), we obtain

$$|h(f(x))| \leq C a^{-M} (\delta_{\partial V} \circ f|_{\overline{U}}(x))^{-M\alpha} .$$

Since  $f(U) = V$ , it follows that, for any  $y \in V$ ,

$$|h(y)| \leq C a^{-M} (\delta_{\partial V}(y))^{-M\alpha} ,$$

that is,  $h$  has polynomial growth on  $V$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $h$  has polynomial growth on  $V$ , that is, there exist  $C', M' \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that, for any  $y \in V$ ,

$$|h(y)| \leq C' (\delta_{\partial V}(y))^{-M'} .$$

Since  $f(U) = V$ , we have, for any  $x \in U$ ,

$$|h(f(x))| \leq C' (\delta_{\partial V} \circ f(x))^{-M'} .$$

By (2.10), we obtain

$$|h(f(x))| \leq C' b^{-M'} (\delta_{\partial U}(x))^{-M'\beta} ,$$

that is,  $h \circ f$  has polynomial growth on  $U$ . □

**Theorem 2.3.2** *Let  $X$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ . Let  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$  such that  $f|_{\overline{U}}$  is an injective map. Let  $h \in \mathcal{O}_X(f(U))$ . Then,  $h \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_{sa}}^t(f(U))$  if and only if  $h \circ f \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is an open mapping,  $f|_U : U \rightarrow f(U)$  is a holomorphic isomorphism.

It is sufficient to prove that  $f(\partial U) = \partial(f(U))$  in order to apply Lemma 2.3.1.

(i)  $f(\partial U) \subset \partial(f(U))$ . For  $x \in \partial U$ , there exists  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset U$  such that  $x_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} x$ . It follows that  $f(x_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(x)$ , hence  $f(x) \in \overline{f(U)}$ . Suppose that  $f(x) \in f(U)$ . Since  $f|_U$  is an isomorphism onto  $f(U)$ , there exists  $\bar{x} \in U$  such that  $f(\bar{x}) = f(x)$ , this contradicts the hypothesis that  $f|_{\overline{U}}$  is injective. It follows that  $f(x) \in \partial(f(U))$ .

(ii)  $f(\partial U) \supset \partial(f(U))$ . For  $y \in \partial(f(U))$ , there exists  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset f(U)$  such that  $y_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow +\infty} y$ . Set  $x_n := (f|_U)^{-1}(y_n)$ . Then  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset U$  is a bounded sequence. Hence there exists a subsequence converging to  $x \in \overline{U}$ . Since  $f(x) = y$  and  $f|_U$  is an isomorphism onto  $f(U)$ ,  $x \in \partial U$ .  $\square$

### 3 Existence theorem

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open neighborhood of 0,  $P$  a differential operator defined on  $X$ , whose only possible singular point is 0. In this section we study the non-homogeneous ordinary differential system relative to  $P$ .

In the first subsection we recall some classical results on the holomorphic solutions of  $P$ .

In the second subsection we start by recalling an existence theorem for tempered holomorphic functions on small open sectors. As said in the introduction such a result is classical and it has been treated in more general cases by many authors. We recall the version obtained by N. Honda in [H92]. Then we state and prove the main result of this section which states that given  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$ , with  $0 \in \partial U$ , there exist an open neighborhood  $W$  of 0 and  $\{U_j\}_{j \in J} \in \text{Cov}_{sa}(U \cap W)$  such that  $P$  is a surjective endomorphism on  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U_j)$  ( $j \in J$ ). The proof is based on the decomposition of the germ of  $U$  at 0 in sets biholomorphic to open sectors (Theorem 1.2.8) and on an existence theorem for sets biholomorphic to open sectors. The proof of this latter result uses a result on the composition of a biholomorphism and a tempered holomorphic function (Theorem 2.3.2) in order to reduce the problem to open sectors of small amplitude.

As a corollary we obtain that  $P$  is a surjective endomorphism of the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t$ .

### 3.1 Some classical results

Denote by  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}^0$  the sheaf of continuous functions on  $\mathbb{C}$ . For  $R$  a ring, we denote by  $gl(m, R)$  (resp.  $GL(m, R)$ ) the ring of (resp. multiplicative group of invertible)  $m \times m$  matrices. In this chapter we are going to consider  $z^{1/l}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , as a holomorphic function on open sets contained in open sectors of amplitude smaller than  $2\pi$ , by choosing the branch of  $z^{1/l}$  which has positive real values on  $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \{0\}$ .

Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open disc centered at 0. Let

$$(3.1) \quad P := z^N \frac{d}{dz} I_m + A(z) ,$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \in gl(m, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}(X))$  and  $I_m$  is the identity matrix of order  $m$ .

Theorem 3.1.1 below is a fundamental result on ordinary differential systems. A complete proof of Theorem 3.1.1 below, originally due to Hukuhara and Turrittin, is given in [W65].

**Theorem 3.1.1 (See [W65])** *Let  $P$  be the differential operator (3.1). There exist  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , a diagonal matrix  $\Lambda \in gl(m, z^{-1/l} \cdot \mathbb{C}[z^{-1/l}])$  and for any  $\vartheta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exist  $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\vartheta_1 < \vartheta_0 < \vartheta_2$ ,  $R, K, M \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and  $F_{S_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, R}} \in GL\left(m, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}(S_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, R}) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}^0(\overline{S_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, R}} \setminus \{0\})\right)$ , satisfying the following conditions*

(i) *for any  $z \in S_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, R}$ ,*

$$(3.2) \quad K^{-1}|z|^M \leq |F_{S_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, R}}(z)| \leq K|z|^{-M} ,$$

(ii) *the  $m$  columns of the matrix  $F_{S_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, R}}(z) \exp(\Lambda(z))$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly independent holomorphic solutions of the system  $Pu = 0$ .*

If no confusion is possible we will write  $F(z)$  instead of  $F_{S_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, R}}(z)$ .

Note that (3.2) implies that  $F, F^{-1} \in GL(m, \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(S_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, R}))$ .

**Definition 3.1.2** *We call the matrix  $F(z) \exp(\Lambda(z))$ , given in Theorem 3.1.1, a fundamental holomorphic solution of  $P$  on  $S_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, R}$ . If  $U$  is an open subset of  $S_{\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, R}$ , we say that  $P$  a fundamental holomorphic solution on  $U$ .*

**Lemma 3.1.3** *Let  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$ , connected and simply connected. Suppose that  $P$  has a fundamental holomorphic solution  $F(z) \exp(\Lambda(z))$  on  $U$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{O}(U)^m$ ,  $z_1 \in U$ .*

Then, for  $\Gamma_{z_1, z} \subset U$  a path from  $z_1$  to  $z \in U$ ,

$$F(z) \exp(\Lambda(z)) \int_{\Gamma_{z_1, z}} \exp(-\Lambda(\zeta)) F(\zeta)^{-1} \frac{g(\zeta)}{\zeta^N} d\zeta$$

is a holomorphic solution of  $Pu = g$ .

*Proof.* Obvious. □

### 3.2 Existence theorem for tempered holomorphic functions

Let  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $p(z) \in z^{-1/l} \cdot \mathbb{C}[z^{-1/l}]$ ,  $S$  an open sector of amplitude smaller than  $2\pi$ ,  $g \in \mathcal{O}_X(S)$ . Set

$$(3.3) \quad I_{p, z_0}(g)(z) := \exp(p(z)) \int_{\Gamma_{z_0, z}} \exp(-p(\zeta)) g(\zeta) d\zeta,$$

where  $z_0 \in \overline{S}$  and  $\Gamma_{z_0, z} \subset \overline{S}$  is a path from  $z_0$  to  $z \in S$ .

**Proposition 3.2.1** (See [H92], Proposition 2.3) *Let  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $p(z) \in z^{-1/l} \cdot \mathbb{C}[z^{-1/l}]$ . There exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that for any open sector  $S$  of amplitude  $\eta \leq \alpha$ , there exist  $z_0 \in \overline{S}$  and a path  $\Gamma_{z_0, z} \subset \overline{S}$  from  $z_0$  to  $z \in S$  such that if  $g \in \mathcal{O}_X(S)$  satisfies  $\|g\|_S^M < +\infty$ , for some  $M \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , then  $I_{p, z_0}(g) \in \mathcal{O}_X(S)$  and*

$$\|I_{p, z_0}(g)\|_S^M < +\infty.$$

Now we prove an analogue of Proposition 3.2.1 for sets biholomorphic to an open sector of sufficiently small amplitude. Then we will use such a result to prove an existence theorem for  $P$  on  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$ ,  $0 \in \partial U$ .

**Proposition 3.2.2** *Let  $W \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open neighborhood of 0,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}(W)$ ,  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $p \in z^{-1/l} \mathbb{C}[z^{-1/l}]$ .*

*There exist  $r, \eta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that for any open sector  $S \subset\subset B(0, r) \subset W$  of amplitude smaller than  $\eta$ , there exist  $z_0 \in \varphi(\overline{S})$  and a path  $\Gamma_{z_0, z} \subset \varphi(\overline{S})$  from  $z_0$  to  $z \in \varphi(S)$  such that, for any  $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(\varphi(S))$ ,*

$$I_{p, z_0}(g)(z) = \exp(p(z)) \int_{\Gamma_{z_0, z}} \exp(-p(\zeta)) g(\zeta) d\zeta \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(\varphi(S)).$$

*Proof.* The proof is based on the following sequence of equivalences which will be made rigorous along the proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{p,z_0}(g)(z) &\in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(\varphi(S)) \\
 &\Updownarrow \\
 I_{p,z_0}(g) \circ \varphi(w) &\in \mathcal{O}_{W_{sa}}^t(S) \\
 &\Updownarrow \\
 (3.4) \quad I_{\tilde{p},w_0}(\tilde{g})(w) &\in \mathcal{O}_{W_{sa}}^t(S)
 \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\tilde{p}(w) \in w^{-1/l'} \cdot \mathbb{C}[w^{-1/l'}]$ ,  $l' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $w_0 \in \overline{S}$  and  $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{O}_{W_{sa}}^t(S)$ . We will obtain (3.4) from Proposition 3.2.1 by taking the amplitude of  $S$  small enough.

There exists  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that,  $\varphi(w) = w^c \varphi_1(w)$  and  $\varphi_1(0) \neq 0$ , for any  $w \in W$ . There exist  $r, \eta_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $\eta_0 < 2\pi$ , be such that, for any open sector  $S \subset\subset B(0, r) \subset W$  of amplitude smaller than  $\eta_0$ ,  $\varphi|_{\overline{S}}$  is injective. For the rest of the proof, a sector  $S$  will be supposed to have amplitude (resp. of radius) smaller than  $\eta_0$  (resp.  $r$ ).

Let

$$p(z) := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j}{z^{j/l}},$$

for  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(\varphi(w)) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{a_j}{(w^c \varphi_1(w))^{j/l}} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \frac{\varphi_{2,j}(w)}{w^{cj/l}} \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \left( \sum_{k=1}^{q_j} \frac{\beta_{j,k}}{w^{k/\lambda_j}} + \varphi_{3,j}(w) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{q'} \frac{a'_j}{w^{j/l'}} + \psi_j(w),
 \end{aligned}$$

for some  $l', \lambda_j, q_j, q' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $\beta_{j,k}, a'_j \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\varphi_{2,j}, \varphi_{3,j}, \psi_j$  power series in  $z^{1/l''}$ , for some  $l'' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , converging on  $S$  and defined on  $\overline{S}$ .

Set

$$\tilde{p}(w) := \sum_{j=1}^{q'} \frac{a'_j}{w^{j/l'}} \in w^{-1/l'} \mathbb{C}[w^{-1/l'}]$$

and

$$h(w) := \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^{q'} \psi_j(w) \right) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}(S) \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{C}}^0(\overline{S}) .$$

It follows that

$$\exp(p(\varphi(w))) = \exp(\tilde{p}(w))h(w) .$$

Consider  $\tilde{p} \in w^{-1/l'}\mathbb{C}[w^{-1/l'}]$ . By Proposition 3.2.1, there exists  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , such that for  $S$  an open sector of amplitude smaller than  $\eta$ , there exist  $w_0 \in \overline{S}$ , a path  $\Gamma_{w_0, w} \subset \overline{S}$  from  $w_0$  to  $w$  such that, for any  $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{O}_{W_{sa}}^t(S)$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad \exp(\tilde{p}(w)) \int_{\Gamma_{w_0, w}} \exp(-\tilde{p}(\zeta)) \tilde{g}(\zeta) d\zeta \in \mathcal{O}_{W_{sa}}^t(S) .$$

Since the multiplication by  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  is a bijection on  $\mathcal{O}_{W_{sa}}^t(S)$ , (3.5) implies that, for any  $\tilde{g} \in \mathcal{O}_{W_{sa}}^t(S)$ ,

$$(3.6) \quad h(w) I_{\tilde{p}, w_0}(h^{-1} \cdot \tilde{g})(w) = h(w) \exp(\tilde{p}(w)) \int_{\Gamma_{w_0, w}} \exp(-\tilde{p}(\zeta)) h(\zeta)^{-1} \tilde{g}(\zeta) d\zeta \in \mathcal{O}_{W_{sa}}^t(S) .$$

Set  $z_0 := \varphi(w_0) \in \varphi(\overline{S})$  and let  $\Gamma_{z_0, z} := \varphi(\Gamma_{w_0, w})$ . Then, for any  $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(\varphi(S))$ ,

$$(3.7) \quad I_{p, z_0}(g) \circ \varphi(w) = h(w) I_{\tilde{p}, w_0}(h^{-1} \cdot (g \circ \varphi) \cdot \varphi')(w) .$$

Up to shrinking  $\eta$ , we can suppose that  $\eta < \eta_0$ . In particular  $\varphi|_{\overline{S}}$  is injective for any open sector  $S$  of amplitude smaller than  $\eta$ .

Since  $(g \circ \varphi) \cdot \varphi' \in \mathcal{O}_{W_{sa}}^t(S)$ , (3.6) and (3.7) imply that

$$I_{p, z_0}(g) \circ \varphi(w) \in \mathcal{O}_{W_{sa}}^t(S) .$$

Since  $\varphi|_{\overline{S}}$  is injective, the conclusion follows by Theorem 2.3.2.  $\square$

Let us now consider the differential operator  $P$  given in (3.1).

**Proposition 3.2.3** *Let  $J$  be a finite set,  $W_j \subset \mathbb{C}$  open neighborhoods of 0,  $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_j)$ ,  $\varphi_j(0) = 0$  ( $j \in J$ ). There exist  $r, \eta \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that for any sector  $S \subset\subset B(0, r) \subset \cap_{j \in J} W_j$  of amplitude smaller than  $\eta$ ,*

$$P : \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(\varphi_j(S))^m \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(\varphi_j(S))^m$$

*is an epimorphism ( $j \in J$ ).*



*Proof.* There exists  $\eta_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that for any sector  $S \subset \subset \cap_{j \in J} W_j$  of amplitude smaller than  $\eta_0$ ,  $P$  has fundamental holomorphic solutions  $F(z) \exp(\Lambda(z))$  on  $\varphi_j(S)$ , for any  $j \in J$ .

For  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , let  $p_k \in z^{-1/l} \mathbb{C}[z^{-1/l}]$  be the  $(k, k)$ -entry of  $\Lambda$ , for some  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .

By Proposition 3.2.2, for any  $j \in J, k = 1, \dots, m$ , there exist  $r_{j,k}, \eta_{j,k}$  such that for any open sector  $S \subset \subset B(0, r_{j,k}) \subset \cap_{j \in J} W_j$  of amplitude smaller than  $\eta_{j,k}$ , there exist  $z_{0,j,k} \in \varphi_j(\bar{S})$  and paths  $\Gamma_{z_{0,j,k}, z}$  from  $z_{0,j,k}$  to  $z \in \varphi_j(S)$  such that for any  $g_j \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(\varphi_j(S))$

$$\exp(p_k(z)) \int_{\Gamma_{z_{0,j,k}, z}} \exp(-p_k(\zeta)) g_j(\zeta) d\zeta \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(\varphi_j(S)).$$

Set

$$r := \min\{r_{j,k}; j \in J, k = 1, \dots, m\}$$

$$\eta := \min\{\eta_0, \eta_{j,k}; j \in J, k = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Let  $S \subset \subset B(0, r)$  be an open sector of amplitude smaller than  $\eta$ . Let  $\Gamma_j$  be the collection of  $m$  paths  $\Gamma_{z_{0,j,k}, z}$ , then for any  $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(\varphi_j(S))^m$

$$\exp(\Lambda(z)) \int_{\Gamma_j} \exp(-\Lambda(\zeta)) g(\zeta) d\zeta \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(\varphi_j(S))^m$$

( $j \in J$ ).

Since multiplication by  $F(z)$  and  $\frac{F(z)^{-1}}{z^N}$  are bijections on  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(\varphi(V))^m$ , we obtain that. for any  $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(\varphi_j(S))^m$ ,

$$F(z) \exp(\Lambda(z)) \int_{\Gamma_j} \exp(-\Lambda(\zeta)) \frac{F(\zeta)^{-1}}{\zeta^N} g(\zeta) d\zeta \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(\varphi_j(S))^m$$

( $j \in J$ ).

The conclusion follows by Lemma 3.1.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.4** *Let  $U, V \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$ . If  $P$  is a surjective endomorphism both on  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U)^m$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(V)^m$ . Then  $P$  is a surjective endomorphism on  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U \cap V)^m$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows from the exact sequence (2.4).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.5** *Let  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$  with  $0 \in \partial U$ . There exist an open neighborhood  $W \subset X$  of 0 and  $\{U_j\}_{j \in J} \in \text{Cov}_{sa}(U \cap W)$  such that, for any  $j \in J$ ,*

$$P : \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U_j)^m \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U_j)^m$$

*is an epimorphism.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.2.8, there exist an open neighborhood  $W$  of 0, a finite set  $J$ , open sectors  $S_{j,k}$ ,  $\varphi_{j,k} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{S_{j,k}})$ , such that  $\varphi_{j,k}(0) = 0$ ,  $\varphi_{j,k}|_{\overline{S_{j,k}}}$  is injective ( $j \in J, k = 1, 2$ ) and

$$(3.8) \quad U \cap W = \bigcup_{j \in J} \left( \varphi_{j,1}(S_{j,1}) \cap \varphi_{j,2}(S_{j,2}) \right).$$

Further, by Remark 1.2.11, we can suppose that the amplitude and the radius of  $S_{j,k}$  are arbitrarily small. In particular, Proposition 3.2.3 applies and we have that  $P$  is an epimorphism on  $\varphi_{j,k}(S_{j,k})$ , for any  $j \in J, k = 1, 2$ .

The conclusion follows from (3.8) and Lemma 3.2.4.  $\square$

The following Corollary is an obvious consequence of Theorem 3.2.5. In view of Proposition 2.1.1, it states that  $P$  is an epimorphism of sheaves on  $X_{sa}$ .

**Corollary 3.2.6** *Let  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$  with  $0 \in \partial U$ . There exist an open neighborhood  $W \subset X$  of 0 such that for any  $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U)^m$ , there exist  $\{U_j\}_{j \in J} \in \text{Cov}_{sa}(U \cap W)$  and  $u_j \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U_j)^m$  satisfying*

$$Pu_j = g|_{U_j} \quad (j \in J).$$

*Proof.* Obvious.  $\square$

## 4 Tempered holomorphic solutions

In this section we deal with solutions of  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules, for  $X$  a complex analytic curve.

In the first subsection we recall some classical results about  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules. First, for a coherent  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ , we define the complex of holomorphic (resp. tempered holomorphic) solutions of  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}ol \mathcal{M}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{S}ol^t \mathcal{M}$ ). Then, we recall that, if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a regular holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module, then  $\mathcal{S}ol^t \mathcal{M} \simeq$

$\mathcal{S}ol \mathcal{M}$ . Moreover we recall that a holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module is locally an extension of a  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module supported on a point (hence regular) and a  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module locally isomorphic to a differential operator.

In the second subsection we state the existence theorem in the framework of  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules. It asserts that, for a holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $H^1(\mathcal{S}ol^t \mathcal{M})$  is isomorphic to  $H^1(\mathcal{S}ol \mathcal{M})$ . Using the results recalled in the first subsection, we reduce to the case of a differential operator. Such case is the object of the third subsection.

In the third subsection we treat the case of a differential operator. Making use of the language of sheaves on  $X_{sa}$ , we give a more natural setting and statement to the results obtained in Section 3.

In the fourth subsection we prove that  $\mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible in the sense of sheaves on  $X_{sa}$ .

Throughout this section,  $X$  will be a complex analytic curve.

## 4.1 Classical results on $\mathcal{D}$ -modules

For a detailed and comprehensive exposition of  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules we refer to [Bj93] and [K03]. For an introduction to derived categories and cohomology of sheaves, we refer to [KS90].

We denote by  $\mathcal{D}_X$  the sheaf of differential operators with holomorphic coefficients on  $X$ ,  $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X)$  the category of  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules,  $\text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$  the full subcategory of  $\text{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X)$  consisting of coherent  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules.

For  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$  we denote by  $\text{char} \mathcal{M}$  the characteristic variety of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$  is said *holonomic* if  $\dim \text{char} \mathcal{M} = 1$ . We denote by  $\text{Mod}_h(\mathcal{D}_X) \subset \text{Mod}_{coh}(\mathcal{D}_X)$  the abelian category of holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules.

We denote by  $D^b(X_{sa})$  (resp.  $D^b(X)$ ,  $D^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ ) the bounded derived category of sheaves of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces on  $X_{sa}$  (resp. sheaves of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces on  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules). We denote by  $D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$  (resp.  $D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ ) the full subcategory of  $D^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$  consisting of bounded complexes whose cohomology groups are coherent (resp. holonomic). For  $\mathcal{M} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ , set  $\text{char} \mathcal{M} := \cup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{char} H^j(\mathcal{M})$ .

Let  $T^*X$  be the cotangent bundle on  $X$ ,  $\pi_X : T^*X \rightarrow X$  the canonical projection,  $T_X^*X$  the zero section of  $T^*X$  and  $\dot{T}^*X := T^*X \setminus T_X^*X$ .

For  $\mathcal{M} \in D_{coh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ , set

$$S(\mathcal{M}) := \pi_X \left( \text{char} \mathcal{M} \cap \dot{T}^*X \right).$$

It is well known that, if  $\mathcal{M} \in D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ , then  $S(\mathcal{M})$  is a discrete subset of  $X$ .

**Definition 4.1.1** *An object  $\mathcal{M} \in D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$  is said regular holonomic if, for any  $x \in X$ ,*

$$\mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}) ,$$

where  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$  is the  $\mathcal{D}_{X,x}$ -module of formal power series at  $x$ . We denote by  $D_{rh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$  the full subcategory of  $D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$  of regular holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules.

Recall that  $\varrho : X \rightarrow X_{sa}$  is the natural morphism of sites. For a coherent  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ , we set for short

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}ol \mathcal{M} &= R\varrho_* \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X) \in D^b(X_{sa}) \\ \mathcal{S}ol^t \mathcal{M} &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\varrho! \mathcal{D}_X}(\varrho! \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t) \in D^b(X_{sa}) . \end{aligned}$$

For Theorem 4.1.2 below, see [K84]. We recall it here with the notation of [KS03].

**Theorem 4.1.2** *Let  $X$  be a complex analytic manifold,  $\mathcal{M} \in D_{rh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ . The natural morphism in  $D^b(X_{sa})$*

$$\mathcal{S}ol^t \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}ol \mathcal{M}$$

*is an isomorphism.*

For  $a \in X$ , let  $\Gamma_{\{a\}}(\cdot)$  be the tempered support functor on  $a$ . For  $\mathcal{M} \in D^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ , denote

$$\mathcal{M}(*a) := \mathcal{O}_X(*a) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{M} ,$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_X(*a)$  is the  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module of meromorphic functions at  $a$ .

Proposition 4.1.3 below follows from Kashiwara's Lemma (see [K03, Theorem 4.30]) and Kashiwara's thesis [K70].

**Proposition 4.1.3** *Let  $a \in X$ .*

(i) *For  $\mathcal{M} \in D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ , there exists a distinguished triangle*

$$R\Gamma_{\{a\}} \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(*a) \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

(ii) *If  $\mathcal{M} \in D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ , then  $R\Gamma_{\{a\}} \mathcal{M} \in D_{rh}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ .*

(iii) *If  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{Mod}_h(\mathcal{D}_X)$ , then there exist an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $a$  and  $P \in \mathcal{D}_X(W)$  such that*

$$\mathcal{M}(*a)|_W \simeq \frac{\mathcal{D}_X|_W}{\mathcal{D}_X|_W \cdot P} .$$

## 4.2 Existence theorem for holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$ -modules

**Theorem 4.2.1** *Let  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Mod}_h(\mathcal{D}_X)$ . The natural morphism of sheaves on  $X_{sa}$*

$$(4.1) \quad H^1(\mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{S}ol(\mathcal{M}))$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* The problem is local on  $X_{sa}$ . Since  $S(\mathcal{M})$  is a discrete set, it is sufficient to prove the statement in the case  $S(\mathcal{M}) \subset \{a\}$ , for  $a \in X$ .

Now, using Theorem 4.1.2 and Proposition 4.1.3, it is sufficient to prove the statement for  $\mathcal{M} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_W}{\mathcal{D}_W \cdot P}$ , for  $W \subset X$  an open neighborhood of  $a$  and  $P \in \mathcal{D}_X(W)$ .

That is, up to shrinking  $X$ , we are reduced to prove that the natural morphism of sheaves on  $X_{sa}$

$$H^1\left(\mathcal{S}ol^t\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}_X}{\mathcal{D}_X \cdot P}\right)\right) \longrightarrow H^1\left(\mathcal{S}ol\left(\frac{\mathcal{D}_X}{\mathcal{D}_X \cdot P}\right)\right)$$

is an isomorphism.

This is the object of Subsection 4.3 below.

□

## 4.3 The case of a single operator

For this subsection, let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an open disc centered at the origin and

$$(4.2) \quad P = \sum_{j=0}^m a_j(z) \frac{d^j}{dz^j} ,$$

for  $a_j(z) \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, m$ ),  $a_m$  not identically zero.

Set  $S(P) := S(\mathcal{D}_X / \mathcal{D}_X \cdot P)$ , then we have

$$S(P) = \{z \in X; a_m(z) = 0\} .$$

Remark that, since  $\varrho_*$  is exact on constructible sheaves and  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is  $\varrho_*$ -acyclic,

$$\frac{\varrho_* \mathcal{O}_X}{P \varrho_* \mathcal{O}_X} \simeq \varrho_* \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{P \mathcal{O}_X} .$$

**Proposition 4.3.1** *The natural morphism of sheaves on  $X_{sa}$*

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t}{P\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t} \longrightarrow \varrho_* \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{P\mathcal{O}_X},$$

*is an isomorphism.*

We need two preliminary lemmas.

**Lemma 4.3.2** *Let  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$ ,  $S(P) \cap U = \emptyset$ . For any  $g \in \mathcal{O}^t(U)$ , there exist  $\{U_j\}_{j \in J} \in \text{Cov}_{sa}(U)$  and  $u_j \in \mathcal{O}^t(U_j)$  such that  $Pu_j = g|_{U_j}$ .*

*Proof.* Since the problem is local on  $X_{sa}$  and  $S(P)$  is a discrete set, we can suppose that  $S(P) = \{0\}$ .

*First case:*  $0 \notin \partial U$ . It follows that  $P$  is a regular operator on a neighborhood of  $\overline{U}$ . The result follows immediatly by Theorem 4.1.2.

*Second case:*  $0 \in \partial U$ . The result follows from Theorem 3.2.6 and the first case. □

**Lemma 4.3.3** *Let  $U \subset X$  be an open ball and assume  $\partial U \cap S(P) = \emptyset$ . Then, the natural morphism*

$$\frac{\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U)}{P\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U)} \xrightarrow{\varphi_t} \frac{\mathcal{O}_X(U)}{P\mathcal{O}_X(U)}$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\mathcal{O}_X(\overline{U})}{P\mathcal{O}_X(\overline{U})} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_c} & \frac{\mathcal{O}_X(U)}{P\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \varphi_t \\ & & \frac{\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U)}{P\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U)} \end{array}.$$

The proof consists of two steps:

- (i)  $\varphi_c$  is an isomorphism,
- (ii)  $\varphi_t$  is injective.

(i) Consider the complex

$$\mathcal{F} := 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0 .$$

Since  $\partial U \cap S(P) = \emptyset$ , then  $R\Gamma_{\partial U}(\mathcal{F}|_{\overline{U}}) \simeq 0$ . It follows that  $R\Gamma(\overline{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$ . In particular, since  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is  $\Gamma(U, \cdot)$  and  $\Gamma(\overline{U}, \cdot)$ -acyclic, it follows that  $\varphi_c$  is an isomorphism.

(ii) Let  $h \in \ker(\varphi_t)$ , that is,  $h \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U)$  and there exists  $u \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$  satisfying  $Pu = h$ . Let us prove that  $u \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U)$ .

The problem is local on  $X_{sa}$ .

Clearly,  $u|_{U_0} \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U_0)$  for any  $U_0 \in \text{Op}_{U_{sa}}^c$ .

So, let  $x \in \partial U$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $x$  such that  $S(P) \cap \overline{U \cap W} = \emptyset$ . In particular,  $P$  is a regular operator on  $\overline{U \cap W}$ .

By Theorem 4.1.2, the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t|_{U \cap W} \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t|_{U \cap W} \longrightarrow 0$$

is concentrated in degree 0. In particular, there exists  $\{V_j\}_{j \in J} \in \text{Cov}_{sa}(U \cap W)$  and  $v_j \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(V_j)$  such that  $Pv_j = h|_{V_j}$ , that is,  $P(v_j - u|_{V_j}) = 0$ . Since  $S(P) \cap \overline{V_j} = \emptyset$ , then  $v_j - u|_{V_j}$  extends holomorphically up to the boundary of  $V_j$ . That is  $v_j - u|_{V_j} = w$ , for some  $w \in \mathcal{O}_X(\overline{V_j})$ . In particular  $u|_{V_j} \in \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(V_j)$ . The conclusion follows.  $\square$

Now we can prove Proposition 4.3.1.

*Proof of Proposition 4.3.1.*

Since  $S(P)$  is a discrete set and the statement is local on  $X_{sa}$ , we can suppose that  $S(P) \subset \{0\}$ .

We are going to prove that, for any  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$ , the natural morphism

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t}{P\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t}(U) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{P\mathcal{O}_X}(U)$$

is an isomorphism.

Consider the presheaves on  $\text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c \ni U &\longmapsto F^t(U) := \frac{\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U)}{P\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U)} , \\ \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c \ni U &\longmapsto F(U) := \frac{\mathcal{O}_X(U)}{P\mathcal{O}_X(U)} . \end{aligned}$$

Recall that, for a presheaf  $G$  on  $X_{sa}$ , we denote by  $G^a$  the associated sheaf on  $X_{sa}$ . We have that  $\frac{\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t}{P\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t} := F^{t,a}$  and  $\varrho_* \frac{\mathcal{O}_X}{P\mathcal{O}_X} \simeq F^a$ .

Suppose that  $0 \notin U$ . Then  $F^a(U) \simeq 0$  and Lemma 4.3.2 implies that  $F^{t,a}(U) \simeq 0$ .

Suppose now that  $0 \in U$ . First, let us prove that  $\varphi$  is surjective.

Recall (2.1).

Let  $s \in F^a(U)$ . Since the inductive limit considered in (2.2) is filtrant,  $s$  can be identified to  $(s_0, \dots, s_n) \in F(S)$ , for  $S = \{U_0, \dots, U_n\} \in \text{Cov}_{sa}(U)$  and  $s_j \in F(U_j)$  ( $j = 0, \dots, n$ ).

Up to take a refinement, we can suppose that  $0 \in U_0$  is an open ball,  $s_0 \in F^t(U_0)$ ,  $0 \notin U_k$ ,  $s_k = 0$  and  $s_0|_{U_0 \cap U_k} = 0$  as an element of  $F^t(U_0 \cap U_k)$  ( $k \neq 0$ ).

It follows that  $(s_0, 0, \dots, 0)$  defines an element of  $F^t(S)$ . In particular, it defines a section  $s^t \in F^{t,a}(U)$  such that  $\varphi(s^t) = s$ . Hence  $\varphi$  is surjective.

Now, let us show that  $\varphi$  is injective. Let  $s^t \in F^{t,a}(U)$  such that  $\varphi(s^t) = 0$ .

As before,  $s^t$  can be identified with  $(s_0^t, \dots, s_n^t) \in F^t(S)$ , for  $S = \{U_0, \dots, U_n\} \in \text{Cov}_{sa}(U)$  and  $s_j^t \in F^t(U_j)$  ( $j = 0, \dots, n$ ).

Up to take a refinement of  $S$ , we can suppose that  $0 \in U_0$  is an open ball,  $0 \notin U_k$  and  $s_k^t = 0$  for  $k \neq 0$ .

That is,  $s^t$  can be identified to  $(s_0^t, 0, \dots, 0) \in F^t(S)$ .

Now, let  $\varphi_t : F^t(U_0) \rightarrow F(U_0)$ . By Lemma 4.3.3,  $\varphi_t$  is injective. Clearly,  $\varphi(s^t) = 0$  implies that  $\varphi_t(s_0^t) = 0$ . Hence  $s_0^t = 0$  and  $\varphi$  is injective.  $\square$

## 4.4 $\mathbb{R}$ -constructibility for tempered holomorphic solutions

In the study of classical solution sheaves of  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules, the notions of micro-support and  $\mathbb{R}$ -constructibility play a central role. We refer to [KS90] to definitions and classical results. In [KS03], M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira defined such notions in the context of sheaves on  $X_{sa}$ . Further, they conjectured some results on tempered holomorphic solutions of holonomic  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules involving  $\mathbb{R}$ -constructibility corresponding to classical results on holomorphic solutions.

Proposition 4.4.1 below follows from the results obtained in Section 3. It proves, in dimension 1, a conjecture from [KS03] stating that, for  $\mathcal{M}$  a holonomic  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module,  $\mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible in the sense of sheaves on  $X_{sa}$ .



Denote by  $D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$  the full triangulated subcategory of the bounded derived category of  $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}_X)$  consisting of complexes whose cohomology groups are  $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible. In what follows, for  $F \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa}})$  and  $G \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ , we set for short

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(G, F) := \varrho^{-1} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_{X_{sa}}}(G, F) \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$$

and

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(G, F) := R\Gamma(X, R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(G, F)) .$$

**Proposition 4.4.1** *Let  $X$  be a complex curve and let  $\mathcal{M} \in D_h^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$ . Then, for any  $G \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ ,  $R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(G, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})) \in D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ .*

*Proof.* We may suppose that  $X \subset \mathbb{C}$  is an open ball centered at the origin. By dévissage we may suppose that  $\mathcal{M} \simeq \frac{\mathcal{D}_X}{\mathcal{D}_X P}$ , for  $P$  a differential operator as in (4.2) such that  $S(P) \subset \{0\}$ . Since the triangulated category  $D_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$  is generated by the objects  $\mathbb{C}_U$ , for  $U \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$ , we may assume that  $G = \mathbb{C}_U$  for such an  $U$ .

Let  $V \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$  such that  $0 \notin \overline{V}$ , then Theorem 4.1.2 implies that  $\mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})|_V \simeq \mathcal{S}ol(\mathcal{M})|_V$ . In particular,

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M}))|_{X \setminus \{0\}} \simeq R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{S}ol(\mathcal{M}))|_{X \setminus \{0\}} .$$

It follows that  $R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M}))$  is weakly- $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible on  $X$  and  $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible on  $X \setminus \{0\}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M}))$  is a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{S}ol(\mathcal{M}))$  and  $R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M}))$  is concentrated in degree 0, 1, it remains to prove that the stalk at 0 of  $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{C}_X}^1(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M}))$  has finite dimension.

Since  $R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M}))$  is weakly- $\mathbb{R}$ -constructible, there exists an open ball  $B$  such that

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathbb{C}_X}^1(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M}))_0 \simeq R^1\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathbb{C}_{U \cap B}, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})) .$$

Recall that  $\mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})$  is represented by the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t \longrightarrow 0$$

and that  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t$  is  $\Gamma(V, \cdot)$ -acyclic for  $V \in \text{Op}_{X_{sa}}^c$ . It follows that the object  $R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M}))$  is represented by the complex

$$\Gamma(U, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})) := 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U) \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{O}_{X_{sa}}^t(U) \longrightarrow 0 .$$

In particular

$$R^1 \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathbb{C}_U, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})) \simeq H^1(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M}))) .$$

We conclude the proof by showing that  $H^1(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})))$  has finite dimension.

First consider the case  $0 \in U$ . By (4.5), we can suppose that  $U$  is an open ball. Then, by Lemma 4.3.3, we have

$$H^1(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M}))) \simeq H^1(\Gamma(U, \mathcal{S}ol(\mathcal{M})))$$

and the conclusion follows.

Suppose now that  $0 \in \partial U$ .

By Theorem 3.2.5 and Lemma 3.2.4, there exists a finite covering  $\{U_j\}_{j \in J} \in \text{Cov}_{sa}(U)$  such that, for any  $K \subset J$

$$(4.6) \quad H^1(\Gamma(U_K, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M}))) \simeq 0 ,$$

where we have set for short  $U_K := \cap_{k \in K} U_k$ .

Arguing by induction on  $n \geq 1$ , we are going to prove that, for any  $n \geq 1$  and  $K_1, \dots, K_n \subset J$ ,

$$H^1(\Gamma(\cup_{h=1}^n U_{K_h}, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})))$$

has finite dimension. This will conclude the proof.

If  $n = 1$ , the result follows at once from (4.6).

Suppose now that, for any  $K'_1, \dots, K'_{n-1} \subset J$ ,

$$(4.7) \quad \dim H^1(\Gamma(\cup_{h=1}^{n-1} U_{K'_h}, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M}))) < +\infty .$$

Consider  $K_1, \dots, K_n \subset J$  and the following distinguished triangle

$$(4.8) \quad \Gamma(\cup_{h=1}^n U_{K_h}, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \Gamma(\cup_{h=1}^{n-1} U_{K_h}, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})) \oplus \Gamma(U_{K_n}, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \Gamma(\cup_{h=1}^{n-1} U_{K_h} \cap U_{K_n}, \mathcal{S}ol^t(\mathcal{M})) \xrightarrow{+1} .$$

Clearly  $U_{K_h} \cap U_{K_n} = U_{K_h \cup K_n}$ . Then (4.7) implies that the second and the third term of the distinguished triangle (4.8) have finite dimensional cohomology groups.

The conclusion follows.  $\square$

## References

- [BM88-1] E. Bierstone, P. D. Milman: “The local geometry of analytic mappings”. Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica (Doctorate in Mathematical Research), ETS Editrice, Pisa, 1988.
- [BM88-2] E. Bierstone, P. D. Milman: *Semi-analytic and subanalytic sets*. Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 67, 1988, 5–42. <http://www.numdam.org>
- [Bj93] J.E.Björk: “Analytic  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules and applications”. Mathematics and its Applications, 247, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [C00] M. Coste: “An introduction to o-minimal geometry”. Pisa. Istituti Ed. e Poligrafici Intern., Università di Pisa, Dipartimento di Matematica, 2000.  
<http://name.math.univ-rennes1.fr/michel.coste/Enseignement.html>
- [vdD98] L. van den Dries: “Tame topology and o-minimal structures”. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, 248; Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [F81] O. Forster: “Lectures on Riemann surfaces”. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 81; Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [H92] N. Honda: *On the solvability of ordinary differential equations in the space of distributions*. Jour. Fac. Sc. Univ. Tokyo IA, vol. 39, n. 2, 207–232, september 1992.
- [K70] M. Kashiwara, *Algebraic study of systems of partial differential equations*. Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France. Nouvelle Série, 63, 1995.
- [K79] M. Kashiwara: *Faisceaux constructibles et systèmes holonomes d’équations aux dérivées partielles linéaires à points singuliers réguliers*. Séminaire Goulaouic-Schwartz, 1979–1980 (French), Exp. No. 19, 7, École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1980.
- [K84] M. Kashiwara: *The Riemann-Hilbert problem for holonomic systems*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., vol. 20, n.2, 319–365, 1984.
- [K03] M. Kashiwara: “ $\mathcal{D}$ -modules and microlocal calculus”. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 217. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

- [KS90] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira: “Sheaves on manifolds”. Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. 292 Springer, 1990.
- [KS96] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira: *Moderate and formal cohomology associated with constructible sheaves*. Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France. Nouvelle Série, 64, 1996.
- [KS01] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira: *Ind-sheaves*. Astérisque, 271, 2001.
- [KS03] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira: *Microlocal study of Ind-sheaves I: micro-support and regularity*. Astérisque 284, 143–164 (2003). [arXiv:math.AG/0108065](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0108065).
- [Ł59] S. Łojasiewicz: *Sur le problème de la division*. Studia Math., 18, 1959, 87–136.
- [Ł65] S. Łojasiewicz: Ensembles semi-analytiques, IHES 1965.
- [Ł93] S. Łojasiewicz: *Sur la géométrie semi- et sous-analytique*. Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 43 (1993), 1575-1595. <http://www.numdam.org>.
- [M67] B. Malgrange: “Ideals of differentiable functions”. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, No. 3. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research. Bombay , 1967.
- [M91] B. Malgrange: *Équations différentielles à coefficients polynomiaux*. Progress in Mathematics, 96. Birkhäuser Boston Inc. 1991.
- [R-S89] J.-P. Ramis, Y. Sibuya: *Hukuhara domains and fundamental existence and uniqueness theorems for asymptotic solutions of Gevrey type*. Asymptotic Analysis, 2, 1989, 1, 39–94.
- [Sa00] C. Sabbah: *Équations différentielles à points singuliers irréguliers et phénomène de Stokes en dimension 2*. Astérisque, 263, 2000.
- [Si70] Y. Siu: “Holomorphic functions of polynomial growth on bounded domains”. Duke Math. J., 37, 1970, 77–84.
- [W65] W. Wasow: “Asymptotic expansions for ordinary differential equations”. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XIV, Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1965.

Giovanni Morando  
 Università degli Studi di Padova,  
 Dipartimento di Matematica Pura e Applicata,  
 via G. Belzoni 7, 35131, Padova, Italy.

or  
 Université Pierre et Marie Curie,  
 Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu,  
 175 rue du Chevaleret, 75013, Paris, France.

e-mail address: [gmorando@math.unipd.it](mailto:gmorando@math.unipd.it)